Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

Sema Öztürk,^a Mustafa Saçmacı,^b Şevket Hakan Üngören,^b Mehmet Akkurt,^a* Hoong-Kun Fun^c and Yunus Akçamur^b

^aDepartment of Physics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Yozgat Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Ercives University, Yozgat, Turkey, and ^cX-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: akkurt@erciyes.edu.tr

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.006 Å R factor = 0.078 wR factor = 0.257 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://iournals.jucr.org/e.

ISSN 1600-5368

2(R)-Hydroxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)-2methoxycarbonylmethyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-(2-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-3-pyrrolone

The title compound, C₂₉H₂₇NO₇, has a non-planar configuration. The methoxycarbonylmethyl group exhibits an Econfiguration. The crystal structure is stabilized by intermolecular $O-H \cdots O$ and $C-H \cdots O$ contacts.

Received 12 May 2003 Accepted 19 May 2003 Online 31 May 2003

Comment

The title compound, (I), is a derivative of 2,3-dihydro-1H-3pyrrolone, which exhibits antimicrobiological and pharmacological activity (Koz'minykh et al., 2002). The bond lengths and angles in (I) (Table 1) are within normal ranges (Allen et al., 1987). The methoxycarbonylmethyl group exhibits an Econfiguration. Compound (I) contains four planar rings, viz A, B, C and D (Fig. 1). In the pyrrolone ring A, the maximum deviation from planarity is 0.028 (3) Å for C2. The dihedral angles between rings A/B, A/C, A/D, B/C, B/D and C/D are 34.6 (1), 72.0 (2), 74.2 (1), 59.6 (2), 80.3 (1) and 40.1 (2) $^{\circ}$, respectively.



The crystal structure of (I) is stabilized by $O-H \cdots O$ and C-H···O intermolecular contacts (Table 2).

Experimental

A mixture of 4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)-2-[(Z)-methoxycarbonylmethylene]-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-3-furanone (2.213 g, 5 mmol) and o-toluidine (0.536 g, 5 mmol) in dry benzene (25 ml) was refluxed for 0.5 h. The solid obtained after evaporation of the solvent was recrystallized from ethanol to give yellow crystals of 2(R)-hydroxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)-2-methoxycarbonylmethyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-(2-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-3-pyrrolone; vield: 1.574 g (59%), m.p: 442 K. Solvents were dried by refluxing with the appropriate drying agent and distilled before use. Melting points were determined on an Electrothermal 9200 apparatus and were uncorrected. Elemental analysis was performed with a Carlo Erba Elemental Analyzer 1108. An FT-IR spectrum was measured on a Jasco-Plus Model 460 spectrometer, using a potassium bromide pellet. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Gemini-Varian 200 MHz instrument. The chemical shifts are reported in p.p.m. referenced to tetramethylsilane. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): v =3200.29 (broad OH), 1740.92 (C20=O), 1653.66 (C22=O), 1633.41

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

organic papers

(C2=O), 1257.84 (C20-O4-C21); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, p.p.m.): δ = 2.181 (*s*, 3H, Ph-CH₃), 2.806–3.081 (*q*, 2H, CH₂), 3.528, 3.666, 3.813 (*s*, 9H, OCH₃), 5.901 (*s*, 1H, OH), 6.885–7.942 (*m*, 12H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, p.p.m.): δ = 20.895 (C18), 41.927 (C19), 54.230, 57.180, 57.384 (C21, C29 and C11), 92.432 (C1), 110.032–165.105 (C=C, aromatic and aliphatic), 181.055 (C20=O), 190.213 (C22=O), 198.213 (C2=O). Analysis calculated for C₂₉H₂₇NO₇: C 69.78, N 2.62, H 6.61%; found: C 69.65, N 2.56, H 6.59%.

Crystal data

$\begin{array}{ll} M_r = 501.52 & D_x = 1.287 \ \mathrm{Mg} \ \mathrm{m}^{-3} \\ \mathrm{Triclinic}, \ P\overline{1} & \mathrm{Mo} \ K\alpha \ \mathrm{radiation} \\ a = 8.948 \ (5) \ \mathrm{\AA} & \mathrm{Cell} \ \mathrm{parameters} \ \mathrm{from} \ 2345 \\ b = 11.254 \ (5) \ \mathrm{\AA} & \alpha = 10.167 \ (5)^{\circ} & \mu = 0.09 \ \mathrm{mm}^{-1} \\ \beta = 93.755 \ (5)^{\circ} & T = 293 \ (2) \ \mathrm{K} \\ \gamma = 90.106 \ (5)^{\circ} & \mathrm{Block}, \ \mathrm{yellow} \\ V = 1294.1 \ (10) \ \mathrm{\AA}^3 & 0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.18 \ \mathrm{mm} \end{array}$	C ₂₉ H ₂₇ NO ₇	Z = 2
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ Mo Kα radiation $a = 8.948$ (5) Å Cell parameters from 2345 $b = 11.254$ (5) Å reflections $c = 13.724$ (5) Å $\theta = 5.7-53.7^{\circ}$ $\alpha = 110.167$ (5)° $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $\beta = 93.755$ (5)° $T = 293$ (2) K $\gamma = 90.106$ (5)° Block, yellow $V = 1294.1$ (10) Å ³ $0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$	$M_r = 501.52$	$D_x = 1.287 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$a = 8.948$ (5) Å Cell parameters from 2345 $b = 11.254$ (5) Å reflections $c = 13.724$ (5) Å $\theta = 5.7-53.7^{\circ}$ $\alpha = 110.167$ (5)° $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $\beta = 93.755$ (5)° $T = 293$ (2) K $\gamma = 90.106$ (5)° Block, yellow $V = 1294.1$ (10) Å ³ $0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$	Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$\begin{array}{ll} b = 11.254 \ (5) \ \mathring{A} & \text{reflections} \\ c = 13.724 \ (5) \ \mathring{A} & \theta = 5.7 - 53.7^{\circ} \\ \alpha = 110.167 \ (5)^{\circ} & \mu = 0.09 \ \text{mm}^{-1} \\ \beta = 93.755 \ (5)^{\circ} & T = 293 \ (2) \ \text{K} \\ \gamma = 90.106 \ (5)^{\circ} & \text{Block, yellow} \\ V = 1294.1 \ (10) \ \mathring{A}^3 & 0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.18 \ \text{mm} \end{array}$	a = 8.948 (5) Å	Cell parameters from 2345
$c = 13.724$ (5) Å $\theta = 5.7-53.7^{\circ}$ $\alpha = 110.167$ (5)° $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $\beta = 93.755$ (5)° $T = 293$ (2) K $\gamma = 90.106$ (5)° Block, yellow $V = 1294.1$ (10) Å ³ $0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$	b = 11.254 (5) Å	reflections
$\begin{array}{ll} \alpha = 110.167~(5)^{\circ} & \mu = 0.09~{\rm mm}^{-1} \\ \beta = 93.755~(5)^{\circ} & T = 293~(2)~{\rm K} \\ \gamma = 90.106~(5)^{\circ} & {\rm Block,~yellow} \\ V = 1294.1~(10)~{\rm \AA}^3 & 0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.18~{\rm mm} \end{array}$	c = 13.724 (5) Å	$\theta = 5.7 - 53.7^{\circ}$
$ \begin{split} \beta &= 93.755~(5)^{\circ} & T &= 293~(2)~{\rm K} \\ \gamma &= 90.106~(5)^{\circ} & {\rm Block,~yellow} \\ V &= 1294.1~(10)~{\rm \AA}^3 & 0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.18~{\rm mm} \end{split} $	$\alpha = 110.167 \ (5)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\gamma = 90.106 (5)^{\circ}$ Block, yellow $V = 1294.1 (10) \text{ Å}^3$ $0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$	$\beta = 93.755 \ (5)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
$V = 1294.1 (10) \text{ Å}^3$ $0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$	$\gamma = 90.106 \ (5)^{\circ}$	Block, yellow
	$V = 1294.1 (10) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.18 \ \mathrm{mm}$

Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD area-	5384 independent reflections
detector diffractometer	2742 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.023$
Absorption correction: refined from	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.3^{\circ}$
ΔF (Parkin <i>et al.</i> , 1995)	$h = -11 \rightarrow 11$
$T_{\min} = 0.975, T_{\max} = 0.984$	$k = -15 \rightarrow 14$
8181 measured reflections	$l = -18 \rightarrow 18$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters not refined
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.078$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1411P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.257$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.00	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
5384 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.54 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
322 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

O1-C1	1.413 (4)	O6-C29	1.409 (8)
O2-C2	1.232 (4)	07-C8	1.367 (5)
O3-C20	1.194 (5)	O7-C11	1.401 (6)
O4-C20	1.318 (5)	N1-C4	1.351 (4)
O4-C21	1.444 (7)	N1-C12	1.411 (4)
O5-C22	1.223 (4)	N1-C1	1.488 (4)
O6-C26	1.347 (6)		
C20-O4-C21	115.9 (4)	N1-C4-C3	113.1 (2)
C26-O6-C29	119.2 (4)	N1-C4-C5	121.0 (3)
C8-O7-C11	118.6 (3)	07-C8-C7	116.0 (4)
C1-N1-C4	109.0 (2)	07-C8-C9	124.8 (4)
C1-N1-C12	122.3 (3)	N1-C12-C13	117.4 (4)
C4-N1-C12	127.6 (3)	N1-C12-C17	122.6 (4)
O1-C1-C2	108.7 (3)	O3-C20-O4	122.9 (4)
O1-C1-C19	109.4 (2)	O4-C20-C19	111.5 (3)
O1-C1-N1	108.6 (3)	O3-C20-C19	125.6 (4)
N1-C1-C19	113.3 (3)	O5-C22-C23	121.0 (3)
N1-C1-C2	102.0 (2)	O5-C22-C3	121.1 (3)
O2-C2-C3	130.1 (3)	O6-C26-C25	123.7 (4)
O2-C2-C1	121.6 (2)	O6-C26-C27	116.5 (4)
C21-O4-C20-O3	0.8 (7)	01-C1-C2-O2	64.7 (4)
C21-O4-C20-C19	-177.2(5)	01-C1-C2-C3	-109.9(3)
C4-N1-C1-O1	111.9 (3)		



Figure 1

An *ORTEP* drawing of (I), showing the labelling of the non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 10% probability level.

Table 2

Intermolecular contacts (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O1 - H1 \cdots O2^{i}$	0.82	1.98	2.681 (4)	143
$C9-H9\cdots O5^{n}$	0.93	2.58	3.485 (6)	165
$C19-H19A\cdots O2^{i}$	0.97	2.59	3.282 (5)	128

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z; (ii) 1 - x, 1 - y, 2 - z.

All H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms.

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*97 (Altomare *et al.*, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *PARST* (Nardelli, 1995) and *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

This study was financially supported by the Research Center of Erciyes University. MS and HU thank Dr Hasan Seçen and Dr Cavit Kazaz for helpful discussions.

References

Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.

Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. G., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 115–119.

Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.

Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.

Koz'minykh, V. O., Igidov, N. M., Zykova, S. S., Kolla, V. \'E., Shuklina, N. S. & Odegova, T. F. (2002). *Pharm. Chem. J.* 36, 188–191.

Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.

Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Parkin, S., Moezzi, B. & Hope. H. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 53-56.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany. Siemens (1996). SMART and SAINT. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments